

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/647,914 | 08/26/2003 | Brian K. Aegerter | 114183-20 2441 (P00-0024US3) | | | |
| 7590 02/07/2006 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| Keith V. Rockey | | | KORNAKOV, MICHAIL | | | |
| Wallenstein Wagner & Rockey, Ltd. 311 South Wacker Drive, 53rd Floor | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Chicago, IL 60606-6630 | | | 1746 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/647,9 | | AEGERTER ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | _ | | | |
| | | Michael K | ornakov | 1746 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communicat | ion appears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| Period fo | or Reply | | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. Ty period will apply and we by statute, cause the app | HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE | N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Passonsive to communication(s) filed of | n 28 November 2 | 005 | | | | | |
| • | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 November 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | esecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| تاره | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the produce of | wood Expants Qu | ujio, 1000 0.2, | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖾 | 4) Claim(s) 67-83 and 85-99 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>67-83, 85-99</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election r | equirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. | | | | | | |
| 10)[| The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | accepted or b) | objected to by the I | Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | n to the drawing(s) t | e held in abeyance. See | ∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority doc | cuments have bee | n received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | he priority docume | ents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * 5 | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Infor | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-training Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 67-83, 85-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a/e) as being anticipated by Lloyd et al (U.S. 6,290,856).

Lloyd teaches a method of removing a film of deposited metal material utilizing the processing steps identical to those instantly claimed (col.3, lines 8-48; col.5, lines 36-67; col.6, lines 1-65; col.9, lines 17-36; col.10, lines 1-36). Therefore, all the limitations of the instant claims are met by Lloyd.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,914 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the parent application 09/041,901, which is issued as U.S. 6,350,319, supports the claims as presented. This issue has been addressed in the previous communications and the Examiner maintains his position that the U.S. 6,350,319 fails to provide a method of removing a metal deposited on at least one side of a substrate including the steps of ... preventing dissolution of at least some of the material on said one side and the step of dissolving at least a portion of the metal deposited on the other side of the substrate without dissolving all of the metal on said one side and therefore U.S. 6,350,319 cannot serve as a priority document for the claimed subject matter, and the U.S. 6,290,865 to Lloyd et al. can be applied as 102(e)/(a) reference.

With regard to Applicants' statement that in order to declare interference they can establish a prima facia date of invention for the subject matter of the claims **prior** to November 30, 1998 (the earliest priority date available to the U.S. 6,290,865 Patent, assigned to Applied Materials Inc.) based on the Application Serial No. 09/437,926, which has since issued as U.S. Patent 6,413,436 and has a filing date of November 10, 1999, it is noted here that such information has not been presented and therefore could not be evaluated and considered by the Examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,914

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (571) 272-1303. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. KODNAKON

Michael Kornakov Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

02/03/2006